Pages 1 - 19

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BEFORE THE HONORABLE EDWARD M. CHEN, JUDGE

IN RE: CHRYSLER-DODGE-JEEP )
ECODIESEL MARKETING, SALES )
PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS )
LIABILITY LITIGATION. )

San Francisco, California Friday, February 9, 2018

## TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

## APPEARANCES:

For Plaintiff Environmental Protection Agency:

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE Environmental & Natural Resources Division Post Office Box 7611 Washington, D.C. 20044

BY: LEIGH RENDÉ, ESQ.
JOSEPH WARREN, ESQ.

For Plaintiffs:

LIEFF, CABRASER, HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN Embarcadero Center West 275 Battery Street - 29th Floor San Francisco, California 94111

BY: ELIZABETH J. CABRASER, ESQ.

LIEFF, CABRASER, HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN 250 Hudson Street Eighth Floor New York, New York 10013-1413

BY: DAVID S. STELLINGS, ESQ.

KELLER RORHBACK, LLP 1201 Third Avenue Suite 3200 Seattle, Washington 98101-3052

BY: GRETCHEN F. CAPPIO, ESQ.

Reported By: BELLE BALL, CSR 8785, CRR, RDR

Official Reporter, U.S. District Court

(Appearances continued, next page)

APPEARANCES, CONTINUED:

ROBBINS, GELLER, RUDMAN & DOWD LLP 655 West Broadway

Suite 1900

San Diego, California 92101

BY: RACHEL L. JENSEN, ESQ.

BEASLEY ALLEN CROW METHVIN.

PORTIS AND MILES, P.C.

218 Commerce Street Post Office Box 4160

Montgomery, Alabama 36103-4160

BY: W. DANIEL MILES, III, ESQ.

For Defendants FCA US LLC, Fiat Chrysler Automobiles N.V., VM Motori S.p.A., VM North America, Inc., and Sergio Marchionne:

SULLIVAN & CROMWELL LLP

125 Broad Street

New York, New York 10004

BY: ROBERT J. GIUFFRA, JR., ESQ. DARRELL S. CAFASSO, ESQ.

For Defendants Robert Bosch LLC and Robert Bosch GmbH:

CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN AND HAMILTON LLP

2000 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20006

BY: MATTHEW D. SLATER, ESQ.

For Interested Party the State of California:

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

Public Rights/Consumer

600 West Broadway

Suite 1800

San Diego, California 92101

BY: JUDITH FIORENTINI, ESQ.

JON F. WORM, ESQ.

Appearances according to the Confirmed Telephonic Appearance Schedule:

Leslie Allen

Holly Froum

Leslie Allen Holly Froum
Megan B. Bradley David Shepardson
Kathryn P. Caballero Camille S. Biros
David S. Casey, Jr. Jodi W. Flowers

Also Present: SETTLEMENT MASTER KENNETH FEINBERG.

(Appearing telephonically)

## Friday - February 9, 2018 1 10:06 a.m. 2 PROCEEDINGS Calling Case 17-MD-02777, In Re: THE CLERK: 3 Chrysler-Dodge-Jeep Diesel Marketing. 4 5 Counsel, please come to the podium and state your name for the record. And I have parties on the phone, so please listen 6 in. 7 MS. CABRASER: Good morning, Your Honor. Elizabeth 8 Cabraser, from Lieff Cabraser Heimann & Berman -- Bernstein. 9 Thank you. 10 11 THE COURT: Changed firms, huh? MS. CABRASER: Well --12 13 THE COURT: Has there been a merger that I didn't hear about? 14 15 MS. CABRASER: Not yet. Thank you. 16 THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Cabraser. 17 MS. RENDÉ: Good morning, Your Honor. Lee Rendé for the United States, here with co-counsel Joseph Warren. 18 Thank you, Ms. Rendé. 19 THE COURT: Great. MS. FIORENTINI: Good morning, Your Honor. Judy Fiorentini 20 from the California Attorney General's office on behalf of the 21 California Attorney General's office and CARB. 22

THE COURT: Great. Thank you. 24

23

25

MR. GIUFFRA: Good morning, Your Honor. Robert Giuffra with

And I'm also here with my colleague, Jon Worm.

Case 3:17-md-02777-EMC Document 282 Filed 02/12/18 Page 4 of 20 Sullivan & Cromwell for the FCA defendants. And I'm here with 1 my partner Darrell Cafasso. Good to see you. 2 Thank you. Good to see you, Mr. Giuffra. THE COURT: 3 MR. SLATER: Good morning, Your Honor. Matthew Slater of 4 5 Cleary Gottlieb on behalf of Robert Bosch GmbH and Robert Bosch LLC. 6 7 THE COURT: Great. Thank you, Mr. Slater. And I understand that Mr. Feinberg, our settlement master, 8 though not physically present, is participating by telephone, 9 and is listening in. And I have had a conversation with 10 11 Mr. Feinberg in advance of today's hearing, just to get a general update. 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So let's talk about that for a moment. I understand that there were meetings in January, that there are ongoing discussions, and some planned dates of further discussions over the next month or two.

Anybody want to comment on that? Or fill in any more details?

MR. GIUFFRA: Your Honor, Robert Giuffra with Sullivan & Cromwell for the FCA defendants.

We had a meeting with the government about a week ago in Washington, all day. I think everyone thought it was a productive meeting.

And then Mr. Feinberg has set up a whole series of meetings over the next month. There must be four to six days' worth of

meetings. Maybe even more, maybe eight days of meetings.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SLATER: So I think we are making good progress.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MS. CABRASER: Your Honor, Elizabeth Cabraser for the PFC.

I think that's correct, we have additional settlement conference dates set up later this month, and also in March. And if -- as needed, in early April.

And those are combinations of meetings with Fiat Chrysler, Bosch, the Department of Justice, NEPA and the California Air Resources Board, California AG, the PFC. So it's -- some of them are back to back, but the idea is to get folks generally in the same place at the same time for enough of an extended period to have detailed constructive discussions.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. And in the meanwhile, the testing protocol has been implemented. There's been ongoing testing. And according to the CMC statement, that data is being provided on a rolling basis to the federal government and to California.

And that the FCA defendants have provided class plaintiffs with that -- some of that same data? Is that right?

MS. RENDÉ: Lee Rendé for the United States. That's correct, to my understanding. FCA does continue to do its testing of the proposed fix on a portion of the subject vehicles. And we have received a fair amount of data from them.

And it continues to roll in to us. We get weekly updates from 1 them, which is very helpful. 2 THE COURT: Okay. 3 MS. RENDÉ: On testing. 4 5 **THE COURT:** I don't know what's confidential or what's not, but is -- is the government -- have there been any surprises or 6 7 any data that would suggest there's going to be a problem so far? 8 MS. RENDÉ: As I mentioned, we are -- we're just starting to 9 get this data. And so we have gotten a fair bit -- we are in 10 11 the process of reviewing it at this point. A few bumps in the road. But it's nothing that is insurmountable, and we're 12 continuing to have conversations with FCA. 13 14 THE COURT: Okay. 15 MS. RENDÉ: So we would say it's progressing as expected at 16 this point. 17 THE COURT: Good. What is the expected time frame for completion? Essentially, when we'll know which direction this 18 is going to take us? 19 MS. RENDÉ: Sure. I know at the last hearing, I mentioned 20 that the United States anticipated that FCA would complete its 21 testing by the end of March. 22 23 THE COURT: Uh-huh.

24

25

MS. RENDÉ: And right now there are a few updates. Testing will go a little bit beyond March, that is our understanding.

And Mr. Giuffra can speak more to that. But our understanding is that FCA's testing will likely be completed by around the end of April. Assuming FCA does continue to follow the test protocol.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Giuffra, do you want to add anything to that?

MR. GIUFFRA: Yes, Your Honor. Just a few points. At the last hearing we talked about providing the PFC with the redacted test protocol. That's all been worked out, no issues.

Second, another issue Your Honor has raised previously is the status of CARB's approval with respect to the 2017 vehicle.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. GIUFFRA: And the final approval has been given. And as Your Honor -- I think as we've said before, FCA remains confident that it has a fix for the model year 2014-2016 vehicles based on the model year 2017 software that's already been approved by both the EPA and CARB.

And right now what we've done, just to -- basically

Ms. Rendé's covered it. But we are testing the vehicles. We
tested the vehicles prior to applying that carryback
calibration. And that work started in December and is now
complete on the Ram 1500 vehicles.

And now we're in the process of testing the vehicles after applying the carryback calibration. And that is being done, and the testing is around the clock. And we are obviously providing

the information to EPA and CARB on a regular basis.

It is my understanding we have also provided the noise, vibration and harshness and drivability data to the PFC. We expect that we will complete our testing on the Ram 1500s -- those are the bigger trucks -- by the end of March.

THE COURT: Uh-huh.

MR. GIUFFRA: And we expect that we will be done with the Grand Cherokee by the third week in April. And then obviously, after that, the protocol provides that the government can do its own testing.

And again, Your Honor, as we've said previously, we think that, you know, having this carryback calibration which has already been approved by CARB and the EPA is the fastest way to deal with the issue of vehicles on the road and addressing all the issues with respect to the vehicles.

So we remain confident, we're moving forward, and we're working hard.

THE COURT: All right. Let me ask the California, the CARB -- Ms. Fiorentini whether you have any observations or comments.

MS. FIORENTINI: Your Honor, we agree with everything that has been shared with you. We continue to work towards a potential agreement. We're monitoring the protocol. CARB has been receiving data and is starting their analysis of that data.

THE COURT: All right. So how does that affect -- let me --

thank you.

How does that affect -- or does this have any effect, in light of the ongoing discussions and the timetable for completion of the testing? As it currently stands, a class cert motion is to be filed April 16th. Is that, is there any reason to deviate from that?

MS. RENDÉ: If I may, Your Honor, Lee Rendé. I just want to make one correction. Mr. Giuffra did note that the testing is complete for the Ram 1500 vehicles. It is not yet complete for the Ram 1500 vehicles.

MR. GIUFFRA: No, I said the testing, the pre-testing was complete.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. GIUFFRA: The testing of the Ram -- I'm pretty sure I said the Ram 1500s.

THE COURT: End of March.

MR. GIUFFRA: I think I said the end of March.

MS. RENDÉ: Okay, just --

THE COURT: End of March. And that the Grand Cherokee would be end of April.

MR. GIUFFRA: Third week of April, yeah.

THE COURT: Third week of April.

MR. GIUFFRA: Yeah. The part that's been done is we pretested the vehicles, so we have the baseline, and then that's done. That part of it's done.

THE COURT: Right. That's what my notes indicate. And that's why I raise -- I mean, I'm assuming, unless I hear otherwise, that our litigation schedule will move forward unless I'm told that there's good reason not to. Let me make sure I'm correct on that.

MS. CABRASER: Your Honor, that's -- that's certainly the plaintiffs' position. That the litigation schedule should remain in place, unless and until there's a reason to go into another mode.

Whether or not the new class certification motion filing date will exactly correlate to testing outcome, we don't know. Testing goes on in the real world. So there can always be -- there can always be slippage. We hope there isn't, but things can happen.

What we don't want to do is slow down the litigation schedule unless or until there would be a really good reason, either because we're going into resolution mode, or another important reason, we have an information deficit. That's why we reluctantly asked for the approximately one-month extension of all the class cert dates.

THE COURT: Right.

MS. CABRASER: We just didn't have the information we thought the Court would want for class certification.

Fortunately we're now in the process of getting that. And so I don't foresee a problem in that regard.

But I think, we certainly pledge to bring to the Court's attention, from our perspective, any need to or appropriate reason to change the litigation schedule. And until then, we'll just keep going.

THE COURT: All right. So at this juncture, and that's what I assumed, there's no request or basis to deviate from the stipulated schedule.

MR. GIUFFRA: Absolutely not, Your Honor. In terms of where I think we view this from the standpoint of FCA, we view the -- you know, our main focus at this time is our regulators, for obvious reasons.

With respect to the PFC, the last time I was here I actually made a motion to dismiss. I argued the motion to dismiss. Last night we sent the Court a decision from the Ninth Circuit in the Toyota Motors case that came down two days after we argued that. We think that decision is actually helpful to us on the motion to dismiss.

We believe that in this case, there are substantial class certification issues. The Ninth Circuit about two weeks ago in the *Hyundai* case issued a decision reaffirming the need for a massive advertising campaign to support certification of a class. So we think there are real serious class certification issues here.

We have produced over a million pages of documents to the PFC. Obviously, whether we can resolve the case, we would love

to resolve the case, because it's always better to get certainty. I just don't know, standing here today, whether we'll be able to. So I think we want to view this as a two-track process.

With respect to the government, we very much want to settle with the government. That's in, I think everybody -- the public interest. And then with respect to the PFC, we have a track where we are working extremely hard with Mr. Feinberg, who is obviously someone who has enormous persuasive powers to try to resolve it with the PFC.

If we can't resolve it with the PFC, we'll go down the litigation road. And again, I do believe there are serious class certification issues in this case.

THE COURT: Well, I'm not surprised that that is your view. And obviously, the Ninth Circuit's recent decision will have to be factored into any class cert motion, but I will cross that bridge when we get there. I'm sure class counsel is well aware of recent decisions.

I am working on the motion to dismiss, and I'm aware, and aware of your recent submission of authorities. And I can just tell you that I expect to issue a decision fairly soon in that regard. So that's on track, and I'm not going to allow that to -- I don't want to be the cause of any delay here. So we're going to just continue to march forward.

And I'm going to assume, unless good reason is presented to

me otherwise, that the April 16th date still obtains for class cert filing.

MS. CABRASER: That's right, Your Honor. And we don't intend to file any response to post-argument submission of authorities. You know, my two cents' worth on that is that they are distinguishable. This is a case of a pre-existing defect, concealed, and takes us outside the fact patterns and the concerns of the courts in those cases. This case is this case.

And we'll certainly address this case as this case when we start the class certification briefing. That's where we are.

THE COURT: All right. Is there anything else that we need to cover?

Sounds like discovery's -- there is no discovery issue that I need resolve at this point, at least according to the CMC. Discovery has been moving forward, and in a manner that will permit the motion to be filed in April.

So unless there's anything else, I think we probably should set another date. We should probably set -- I don't know. If we set a date prior to the actual filing of a class cert to make sure things are on track, and perhaps some point in March.

And then I do want to have a -- make sure we do get together after the testing is completed and you have had your round of discussions, to sort of see where we're at. And maybe set a second date for the end of April.

So maybe something toward the third week of March or so, and

```
then at the end of April or beginning of May?
 1
          THE CLERK: March 19 at 10:00.
 2
          MR. GIUFFRA: Um, let me just check.
 3
          MS. CABRASER: That would work on the plaintiff side,
 4
 5
      Your Honor.
          THE COURT: Okay.
 6
          MR. GIUFFRA: I think I may be out of the country that --
 7
          THE COURT: Oh.
 8
          MR. GIUFFRA: I just want to check.
 9
          Yeah, I think I'm out of the country that week.
10
          THE COURT: Week after?
11
12
          MR. GIUFFRA: Um --
13
          THE CLERK: March 26th.
          MS. CABRASER: That would work for plaintiffs, Your Honor.
14
15
          MR. GIUFFRA: If possible --
          MS. CABRASER: That would work for plaintiffs, Your Honor.
16
17
          (Off-the-Record discussion between counsel)
          MS. CABRASER: Oh, okay. The other -- I was reminded that
18
      some of us need to be in this courthouse on March the 21st.
19
20
      It's not your --
          THE COURT: I could specially set it if that works.
21
          MS. CABRASER: Does that work? It's a Wednesday.
22
23
          MR. GIUFFRA: That's the week I'm --
          MS. CABRASER: You are out all week.
24
25
          MR. GIUFFRA: That's the third week, yeah. The next week I
```

have to be in Zurich. On the 26th and 27th. I mean, I could do it -- I could be here on the 26th, which is a Monday. For me, personally, it would be better to do this April 2nd, 3rd, 4th, you know, that whole next week. And then we have a mediation session on the 5th and 6th in Washington with Mr. Feinberg.

MS. RENDÉ: You know, I'm sorry, Your Honor, but I just want to clarify because you did say that you expressed a desire to have a meeting at or after the determination has been made for the testing? Or did you just mean FCA's portion of the testing?

Because, to clarify, FCA should at this point be -- complete its testing roughly towards the end of April, but then the United States and California will still need approximately 30 days.

THE COURT: Thirty days.

MS. RENDÉ: Correct.

THE COURT: So --

MS. RENDÉ: So I want to make sure you are aware, as we are doing that kind of scheduling. So we are looking at the end of May at this point. And it may change as other bumps in the road arise.

THE COURT: Right.

MS. CABRASER: Does it make any sense at all to try to set a conference, kind of split the difference and set a conference on April 9th, which is a little bit before -- it's before the class cert brief would have to be filed.

```
1
          THE COURT:
                      Right.
 2
          MS. CABRASER: It's --
          MR. SLATER: That would be perfect.
 3
          MS. CABRASER: -- after most of the settlement discussions
 4
 5
      that are currently scheduled.
          THE COURT:
                      Okay.
 6
 7
          MS. CABRASER: We ought to have --
          THE COURT: The scheduled settlement conferences are in
 8
 9
      early April?
          MS. CABRASER: Uh-huh.
10
11
          THE COURT:
                     First week?
          MS. CABRASER: It would be the prior week.
12
          THE COURT: I think that makes sense.
13
          MR. GIUFFRA: Is when -- the 5th and the 6th.
14
15
          THE COURT:
                     That makes sense, we can just pause for a second
16
      to see, make sure that we are still on track on litigation.
17
      then we will have to set another date, probably after you
18
      complete your testing, in May.
19
                         Right.
          MS. CABRASER:
20
          THE COURT: But why don't we go ahead. April 9 is what
21
      you're suggesting?
          THE CLERK: Yes, April 9 at 2:30.
22
23
          MR. GIUFFRA: That would be fine.
          THE COURT: All right, April 9 at 2:30. And we could set a
24
25
      date now, or we could set it then, for the post-EPA-CARB --
```

```
1
          MS. CABRASER: We may want to set it then or --
                     That would be my recommendation.
 2
          MS. RENDÉ:
          THE COURT:
                      Right.
 3
          MR. GIUFFRA: I agree with that.
 4
 5
          THE COURT: All right.
          MR. GIUFFRA: We'll know more by then.
 6
                     We'll know more.
 7
          THE COURT:
          MS. CABRASER: Would it be --
 8
          MR. GIUFFRA: I think there's a whole series of dates with
 9
      Mr. Feinberg in March, and then those two dates in April.
10
11
          MS. CABRASER: Right.
          MR. GIUFFRA: So there must be at least six days of
12
13
      conferences that he set up.
14
          MS. CABRASER: Six to eight.
15
          THE COURT:
                     Okay.
16
          MR. GIUFFRA: There's a lot.
17
          THE COURT: Right.
          MS. CABRASER: Your Honor, would it be possible to set that
18
19
      status conference slightly earlier in the day? I teach at
      Berkeley, starting at 3:35 on Mondays.
20
21
          THE COURT:
                      Okay.
                     You have a trial that week.
22
          THE CLERK:
23
          MR. GIUFFRA: Do you want to move it to a Tuesday? Tuesday
      would actually be even better.
24
          (Off-the-Record discussion between the Court and Clerk)
25
```

```
MR. GIUFFRA:
 1
                       Tuesday the 10th.
 2
          THE COURT: Is the 10th better for you, Ms. Cabraser?
          MS. CABRASER: It will work, Your Honor.
 3
                     I'm sorry, which day?
 4
          THE CLERK:
 5
          THE COURT:
                     The 10th.
          THE CLERK: No, we have a trial.
 6
          THE COURT: I mean in the afternoon.
 7
          THE CLERK: Yeah, 2:30.
 8
          MR. GIUFFRA: How about the 11th? I'm flexible that week.
 9
          THE COURT: Is the 10th --
10
11
          MS. CABRASER: That will work, Your Honor.
          THE COURT: Let's do the 10th in the afternoon.
12
13
          MS. CABRASER: Okay.
          THE COURT: Because then you won't have your teaching
14
15
      conflict.
16
          MS. CABRASER: Thank you. Yeah, I can move things around on
17
      the 10th.
          THE COURT: All right. That will be at 2:30, because we
18
19
      have trial all week.
20
          MS. CABRASER: Thank you, Your Honor.
          THE COURT: And then we'll set the next date, which likely
21
      will be key to CARB's and EPA's completion of their process.
22
23
      some time in late May, perhaps. But let's, let's see where
```

we're at on the 10th. And you will have had your full rounds

with Mr. Feinberg, and see where this litigation takes us.

24

25

```
1
          But at this point, like I said, we're moving forward. And I
      will get out the order on the motion to dismiss in the near
 2
      future. Okay?
 3
          MS. CABRASER: Thank you, Your Honor.
 4
 5
          MR. GIUFFRA: Thank Your Honor.
          THE COURT: All right. Thanks, everyone.
 6
 7
          THE CLERK: Court is adjourned.
          (Proceedings concluded)
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER I, BELLE BALL, Official Reporter for the United States Court, Northern District of California, hereby certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter. BelleBall /s/ Belle Ball Belle Ball, CSR 8785, CRR, RDR Monday, February 12, 2018