UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL on MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

IN RE: JUUL LABS, INC., MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES, AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

MDL No. 2913

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO -9)

On October 2, 2019, the Panel transferred 5 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. See _F.Supp.3d_ (J.P.M.L. 2019). Since that time, 76 additional action(s) have been transferred to the Northern District of California. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable William H. Orrick, III.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Northern District of California and assigned to Judge Orrick.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the <u>Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation</u>, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Northern District of California for the reasons stated in the order of October 2, 2019, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable William H. Orrick, III.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7—day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

Inasmuch as no objection is pending at this time, the stay is lifted.

Nov 13, 2019

CLERK'S OFFICE
UNITED STATES
JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

FOR THE PANEL:

John W. Nichols Clerk of the Panel IN RE: JUUL LABS, INC., MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES, AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

MDL No. 2913

SCHEDULE CTO-9 - TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

<u>DIST</u>	<u>DIV.</u>	<u>C.A.NO.</u>	CASE CAPTION
COLORADO			
CO	1	19-03062	Aldawoodi v. Juul Labs, Inc. et al
GEORGIA NORTHERN			
GAN	1	19–04789	Kealy v. JUUL Labs, Inc. et al
NEW YORK EASTERN			
NYE	2	19-06131	Tarangelo v. Juul Labs Inc. et. al. Opposed 11/13/19
NEW YORK SOUTHERN			
NYS	1	19–10095	Bronstein v. JUUL Labs, Inc. et al Opposed 11/13/19
NYS	1	19–10098	Fay et al v. JUUL Labs, Inc. et al Opposed 11/13/19
PENNSYLVANIA EASTERN			
PAE	2	19-04978	SHARGEL et al v. JUUL LABS INC. et al
PAE	2	19-04979	FERRARO v. JUUL LABS INC. et al