1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

//

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

STANDING ORDER FOR PATENT CASES BEFORE DISTRICT JUDGE TRINA L. THOMPSON

CONFORMITY TO RULES

1. Parties and counsel shall follow the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Patent Local Rules, and the General Orders of the Northern District of California, except as superseded by this Court's standing orders.

REFERRAL TO MAGISTRATE JUDGE

2. Any dispute regarding any party's patent disclosures pursuant to Patent Local Rules 3-1 to 3-5 typically is referred to the assigned Magistrate Judge. Requests to amend or strike a party's infringement contentions or invalidity contentions are likewise typically referred to the assigned Magistrate Judge.

EXCHANGE OF EVIDENCE

- 3. The initial joint claim construction statement required by Patent Local Rule 4-3 must be truly joint. Disputed terms, phrases, and clauses must be designated as disputed. All other terms will be presumed to be undisputed. For any term in dispute, the parties must agree on the identity of the term. With regards to disputed terms, phrases, or clauses, the joint statement will list each disputed term, phrase, or clause (listed by claim); each party's proposed construction; and support for each party's proposed construction side by side. A model claim construction statement is attached to this Order.
- 4. In the joint claim construction statement, parties must either cite to the docket where copies of all patents in dispute can be found or attach copies of all patents in dispute. Parties must also make a complete prosecution history for each patent available to the Court upon request.

CLAIM CONSTRUCTION

- 5. The Court will generally construe no more than 10 terms. If multiple terms present identical issues, they may be grouped together or a representative term may be chosen, and each group or representative term may be considered a single term for purposes of the ten-term limit. If more than ten terms are at issue, the parties must meet and confer before the preparation of the joint claim construction statement to narrow the number of terms that are to be construed by the Court and shall jointly propose the ten terms requiring construction.
- 6. If a party has a good-faith basis for believing that more than ten terms need to be construed, that party may request leave to designate additional terms for construction, pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-11. The requesting party must demonstrate good cause and explain why other methods of limiting the terms at issue (such as the selection of representative terms or any grouping of terms by issues presented) would be ineffective. The request must be filed no later than two weeks before the deadline for filing the joint claim construction statement. If good cause is shown, the Court will either agree to construe all terms or schedule a later proceeding to construe the remaining terms before trial. If more than ten terms are submitted for construction without leave of court, the Court will construe only the first ten terms listed in the joint claim construction statement and sanctions may be imposed.

PRE-RECORDED TUTORIAL

- 7. The parties will generally be permitted to submit, separately or jointly, a prerecorded tutorial of 30-45 minutes per side, to present a short summary and explanation of the technology at issue. Nonargumentative demonstrations and visual aids are encouraged, and the Court generally prefers that individual(s) other than counsel make the presentation. No argument will be permitted.
- 8. The pre-recorded tutorial, along with its transcript, is due via portable media (e.g., flash drive or DVD) no later than the date on which the claim construction opening brief is due (see Patent Local Rule 4-5(a)). Each side that submits a pre-recorded tutorial to the Court must also serve the opposing side concurrently with a copy.

//

Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

CLAIM CONSTRUCTION HEARING

- 9. All hearings and appearances will be held in Courtroom 9 on the 19th Floor of the United States Courthouse, 450 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, California.
- 10. Depending on the technology involved, the Court may determine that the assistance of a neutral expert would be helpful. In that case, the Court may direct the parties to confer and, if possible, reach an agreement as to three experts in the field who would be appropriate to act as a neutral expert to assist the Court during the claim construction proceedings and/or the trial. The Court will then choose one of the three to appoint as a neutral expert pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence 706. The parties will split the cost of the expert equally.
- 11. At the claim construction hearing, each side will generally be permitted 90 minutes to present its argument. Claim construction will proceed like a typical oral argument, not a PowerPoint lecture. Demonstrative exhibits and visual aids that are duplicative of the arguments made in the parties' claim construction briefs are strongly discouraged. Counsel will exchange copies of exhibits and visual aids no later than 48 hours prior to the hearing.
- 12. If either party wishes to present testimony at the claim construction hearing, counsel must seek leave of Court by filing an administrative motion pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-11 at least seven days in advance of the hearing.

SUBSEQUENT CASE MANAGEMENT REPORT

- 13. Upon issuance of the claim construction ruling, the Court will also set a date for the filing of a further joint case management status report. In that report, the parties must address the following topics:
 - whether either party wishes the Court to certify the claim construction ruling for immediate appeal to the Federal Circuit;
 - b. the filing of dispositive motions, and the timing of those motions;
 - if willful infringement has been asserted, whether the allegedly-infringing party wishes to rely on the advice of counsel defense—if so, the parties should be prepared to address proposals for resolving any attorney-client privilege issues

United States District Court Northern District of California

27

28

1	that arise, and whether the parties believe bifurcation of the trial into liability		
2	and damages phases would be appropriate;		
3	d. anticipated post-claim construction discovery;		
4	e. proposed deadlines and court dates for the remainder of the case schedule;		
5	f. any other pretrial matters; and		
6	g. the progress of settlement discussions, if any.		
7	The Court will review the reports and, if necessary, schedule a further case management		
8	conference and enter any appropriate orders.		
9	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
10	Dated: October 14, 2022		
11	Sain Horge		
12	TRINA L. THOMPSON United States District Judge		
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			

United States District Court Northern District of California

SAMPLE CLAIM CONSTRUCTION STATEMENT

'122 Potont			
'123 Patent			
Claim Language (Disputed	Plaintiff's Proposed	Defendant's Proposed	
Terms in Bold)	Construction and Evidence in	Construction and Evidence in	
	Support	Support	
1. A method for counting	a bird that quacks	a bird that swims	
ducks , comprising the steps	•		
of:	INTRINSIC EVIDENCE:	INTRINSIC EVIDENCE:	
	'123 Patent col;_ ("a	'123 Patent col. : ("Ducks	
[or]	distinctive honking");	may be found on or near	
[OI]	Prosecution History at	bodies of water."); Prosecution	
ducks	("This patent is distinguished	History at ("Water fowl are	
uucks			
Edia	from the prior art in that the	particularly amenable to being	
Found in asserted claim	quacking of the bird is	counted by this method.").	
numbers: a, b, c, d	featured.").		
		DICTIONARY/TREATISE	
	DICTIONARY/TREATISE	DEFINITIONS: Random	
	DEFINITIONS: Webster's	House Dictionary ("A duck:	
	Dictionary ("A duck: bird that	an aquatic bird").	
	quacks"); Field Guide ("A bird	,	
	call: quack").	EXTRINSIC EVIDENCE: G.	
	cam quaem).	Marx Depo. At : ("Like a	
	EXTRINSIC EVIDENCE:	duck to water."); Daffy Decl.	
	McDonald Depo. at:_	at	
	("I'd say the quacking makes		
	it a duck."); Donald Decl. at		

(Or any other substantively similar format that permits the Court to compare terms side by side.)