

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IN RE: ROUNDUP PRODUCTS
LIABILITY LITIGATION

MDL No. 2741

Case No. 16-md-02741-VC

This document relates to:

Kay v. Monsanto Co.,
Case No. 3:21-cv-00175-VC

Iona v. Monsanto Co.,
Case No. 3:20-cv-02404-VC

Glassman v. Monsanto Co.,
Case No. 3:20-cv-00024-VC

Berenfeld v. Monsanto Co.,
Case No. 3:18-cv-01428-VC

Proctor v. Monsanto Co.,
Case No. 3:21-cv-00172-VC

Belfleur v. Monsanto Co.,
Case No. 3:20-cv-00621-VC

Thompson v. Monsanto Co.,
Case No. 3:20-cv-08851-VC

Holmes v. Monsanto Co.,
Case No. 3:20-cv-03363-VC

Ginkel v. Monsanto Co.,
Case No. 3:22-cv-00358-VC

**PRETRIAL ORDER NO 298: ORDER
DENYING MOTION TO EXCLUDE
EXPERT FREIDENFELDS**

Re: Dkt. No. 18969

Monsanto's motion to exclude the testimony of Lara Freidenfelds is denied without prejudice. Freidenfelds' testimony relates to corporate conduct by Monsanto and not causation. As previously (and repeatedly) explained, the admissibility of non-causation expert testimony should be decided by the transferor court after remand. *See* Pretrial Order No. 202 (Dkt. No. 9143). For the sake of clarity, Freidenfelds may not opine directly or indirectly about causation.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 3, 2024



VINCE CHHABRIA
United States District Judge